## AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: XX

(A-19)

Introduced by: American College of Rheumatology

American Academy of Ophthalmology

American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists

American Society of Clinical Oncology

Subject: Selective Application of Prior Authorization

Referred to: Reference Committee

(\_\_\_\_\_, MD, Chair)

Whereas, Utilization management programs such as prior authorization can create significant barriers for patients by delaying the start or continuation of necessary treatment and negatively affecting patient health outcomes; and

Whereas, According to a 2018 AMA survey, 91% of physicians reported that prior authorization caused delays in their patients' care, and 75% reported that prior authorizations led to treatment abandonment; and

Whereas, The vast majority of requests are eventually approved, nearly 100% of some treatments or services, yet prior authorization can delay treatment for weeks or months; and

Whereas, prior authorization burdens physicians who spend time away from patient care, or need to hire staff dedicated to seeking approval from insurers for medications they determined their patients need; and

Whereas, Differentiating the application of prior authorization based on provider performance on quality measures and adherence to evidence-based medicine can be helpful in reducing the administrative burden on health care providers; and

Whereas, Our AMA co-developed and joined a consensus statement that included representatives of physicians, pharmacists, medical groups, hospitals, and health plans, in which selective application of prior authorization was a key recommendation for improving the prior authorization process; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That our AMA will support policies such that prior authorization requirements will not be applied to items or services ordered by physicians and other health care practitioners:

- (i) whose prescribing or ordering practices align with an evidence-based guideline established or approved by a national professional medical association; or
- (ii) who meet quality (e.g. gold standard) criteria; or

Resolution: XX (A-19)

Page 2 of 4

- (iii) whose orders or prescriptions are routinely approved; or
- (iv) who adhere to a high quality clinical care pathway; or
- (v) who participate in an alternative payment model or care delivery model that aims to improve health care quality.

Fiscal Note:

Received:

#### **RELEVANT AMA POLICY**

## Prior Authorization and Utilization Management Reform H-320.939

- 1. Our AMA will continue its widespread prior authorization (PA) advocacy and outreach, including promotion and/or adoption of the Prior Authorization and Utilization Management Reform Principles, AMA model legislation, Prior Authorization Physician Survey and other PA research, and the AMA Prior Authorization Toolkit, which is aimed at reducing PA administrative burdens and improving patient access to care.
- 2. Our AMA will oppose health plan determinations on physician appeals based solely on medical coding and advocate for such decisions to be based on the direct review of a physician of the same medical specialty/subspecialty as the prescribing/ordering physician.
- 3. Our AMA supports efforts to track and quantify the impact of health plans' prior authorization and utilization management processes on patient access to necessary care and patient clinical outcomes, including the extent to which these processes contribute to patient harm.

#### Payer Accountability H-320.982

Our AMA: (1) Urges that state medical associations and national medical specialty societies to utilize the joint Guidelines for Conduct of Prior Authorization Programs and Guidelines for Claims Submission, Review and Appeals Procedures in their discussions with pavers at both the national and local levels to resolve physician/paver problems on a voluntary basis. (2) Reaffirms the following principles for evaluation of preadmission review programs, as adopted by the House of Delegates at the 1986 Annual Meeting: (a) Blanket preadmission review of all or the majority of hospital admissions does not improve the quality of care and should not be mandated by government, other payers, or hospitals. (b) Policies for review should be established by state or local physician review committees, and the actual review should be performed by physicians or under the close supervision of physicians. (c) Adverse decisions concerning hospital admissions should be finalized only by physician reviewers and only after the reviewing physician has discussed the case with the attending physician. (d) All preadmission review programs should provide for immediate hospitalization, without prior authorization, of any patient whose treating physician determines the admission to be of an emergency nature. (e) No preadmission review program should make a payment denial based solely on the failure to obtain preadmission review or solely on the fact that hospitalization occurred in the face of a denial for such admission. (3) Affirms as policy and advocates to all public and private payers the right of claimants to review by a physician of the same general specialty as the attending physician of any claim or request for prior authorization denied on the basis of medical necessity.

# Web-Based Prior Authorization Process H-285.912

Our AMA supports legislation requiring all health insurers to include web-based prior authorization services among options for granting prior authorization.

## Remuneration for Physician Services H-385.951

- 1. Our AMA actively supports payment to physicians by contractors and third party payers for physician time and efforts in providing case management and supervisory services, including but not limited to coordination of care and office staff time spent to comply with third party payer protocols.
- 2. It is AMA policy that insurers pay physicians fair compensation for work associated with prior authorizations, including pre-certifications and prior notifications, that reflects the actual time expended by physicians to comply with insurer requirements and that compensates physicians fully for the legal risks inherent in such work.
- 3. Our AMA urges insurers to adhere to the AMA's Health Insurer Code of Conduct Principles including specifically that requirements imposed on physicians to obtain prior authorizations, including pre-certifications and prior notifications, must be minimized and streamlined and health insurers must maintain sufficient staff to respond promptly.

Resolution: XX (A-19)

Page 3 of 4

Our AMA supports legislation and/or regulations that would apply the following processes and parameters to prior authorization (PA) for Medicaid and Medicaid managed care plans and Medicare Advantage plans: a. List services and prescription medications that require a PA on a website and ensure that patient informational materials include full disclosure of any PA requirements.

- b. Notify providers of any changes to PA requirements at least 45 days prior to change.
- c. Improve transparency by requiring plans to report on the scope of PA practices, including the list of services and prescription medications subject to PA and corresponding denial, delay, and approval rates.
- d. Standardize a PA request form.
- e. Minimize PA requirements as much as possible within each plan and eliminate the application of PA to services and prescription medications that are routinely approved.
- f. Pay for services and prescription medications for which PA has been approved unless fraudulently obtained. g. Allow continuation of medications already being administered or prescribed when a patient changes health plans, and only change such medications with the approval of the ordering physician.
- h. Make an easily accessible and responsive direct communication tool available to resolve disagreements between health plan and ordering provider.
- i. Define a consistent process for appeals and grievances, including to Medicaid and Medicaid managed care plans.

## Approaches to Increase Payer Accountability H-320.968

Our AMA supports the development of legislative initiatives to assure that payers provide their insureds with information enabling them to make informed decisions about choice of plan, and to assure that payers take responsibility when patients are harmed due to the administrative requirements of the plan. Such initiatives should provide for disclosure requirements, the conduct of review, and payer accountability.

- (1) Disclosure Requirements. Our AMA supports the development of model draft state and federal legislation to require disclosure in a clear and concise standard format by health benefit plans to prospective enrollees of information on (a) coverage provisions, benefits, and exclusions; (b) prior authorization or other review requirements, including claims review, which may affect the provision or coverage of services; (c) plan financial arrangements or contractual provisions that would limit the services offered, restrict referral or treatment options, or negatively affect the physician's fiduciary responsibility to his or her patient; (d) medical expense ratios; and (e) cost of health insurance policy premiums. (Ref. Cmt. G, Rec. 2, A-96; Reaffirmation A-97)
- (2) Conduct of Review. Our AMA supports the development of additional draft state and federal legislation to: (a) require private review entities and payers to disclose to physicians on request the screening criteria, weighting elements and computer algorithms utilized in the review process, and how they were developed; (b) require that any physician who recommends a denial as to the medical necessity of services on behalf of a review entity be of the same specialty as the practitioner who provided the services under review; (c) Require every organization that reviews or contracts for review of the medical necessity of services to establish a procedure whereby a physician claimant has an opportunity to appeal a claim denied for lack of medical necessity to a medical consultant or peer review group which is independent of the organization conducting or contracting for the initial review; (d) require that any physician who makes judgments or recommendations regarding the necessity or appropriateness of services or site of service be licensed to practice medicine in the same jurisdiction as the practitioner who is proposing the service or whose services are being reviewed; (e) require that review entities respond within 48 hours to patient or physician requests for prior authorization, and that they have personnel available by telephone the same business day who are qualified to respond to other concerns or questions regarding medical necessity of services, including determinations about the certification of continued length of stay; (f) require that any payer instituting prior authorization requirements as a condition for plan coverage provide enrollees subject to such requirements with consent forms for release of medical information for utilization review purposes, to be executed by the enrollee at the time services requiring such prior authorization are recommended or proposed by the physician; and (g) require that payers compensate physicians for those efforts involved in complying with utilization review
- (3) Accountability. Our AMA believes that draft federal and state legislation should also be developed to impose similar liability on health benefit plans for any harm to enrollees resulting from failure to disclose prior to enrollment the information on plan provisions and operation specified under Section 1 (a)-(d) above.

requirements that are more costly, complex and time consuming than the completion of standard health insurance claim forms. Compensation should be provided in situations such as obtaining preadmission certification, second

#### Restoring High Quality Care to the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Program D-330.933

opinions on elective surgery, and certification for extended length of stay.

Our AMA will: a. work to eliminate prior authorizations under the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Program which undermine a physician's best medical judgment; b. work with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to enforce the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Program statutory requirement that all Part D plans include at least two drugs proven to be equally effective in each therapeutic category or pharmacologic class, if available, to be used by the physician in deciding the best treatment options for their patients; c. work with CMS to place reasonable copays in the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Program; d. work with other interested parties to simplify the CMS prior authorization process such that a diagnosis or reason written on the prescription should be accepted as documentation for non formulary request; and e. work with CMS to develop a one-page form for physicians and patients to utilize in appealing a prescription coverage denial.

Resolution: XX (A-19)

Page 4 of 4

#### Preauthorization D-320.988

- 1. Our AMA will conduct a study to quantify the amount of time physicians and their staff spend on nonclinical administrative tasks, to include (a) authorizations and preauthorizations and (b) denial of authorization appeals.
- 2. There will be a report back to the House of Delegates at the 2015 Annual Meeting
- 3. Our AMA will utilize its advocacy resources to combat insurance company policies that interfere with appropriate laboratory testing by requiring advance notification or prior authorization of outpatient laboratory services

#### **Opposition to Prescription Prior Approval D-125.992**

Our AMA will urge public and private payers who use prior authorization programs for prescription drugs to minimize administrative burdens on prescribing physicians.

### **Private Health Insurance Formulary Transparency H-125.979**

- 1. Our AMA will work with pharmacy benefit managers, health insurers, and pharmacists to enable physicians to receive accurate, real-time formulary data at the point of prescribing.
- 2. Our AMA supports legislation or regulation that ensures that private health insurance carriers declare which medications are available on their formularies by October 1 of the preceding year, that formulary information be specific as to generic versus trade name and include copay responsibilities, and that drugs may not be removed from the formulary nor moved to a higher cost tier within the policy term.
- 3. Our AMA will develop model legislation (a) requiring insurance companies to declare which drugs on their formulary will be covered under trade names versus generic, (b) requiring insurance carriers to make this information available to consumers by October 1 of each year and, (c) forbidding insurance carriers from making formulary deletions within the policy term.
- 4. Our AMA will promote the following insurer-pharmacy benefits manager pharmacy (IPBMP) to physician procedural policy: In the event that a specific drug is not or is no longer on the formulary when the prescription is presented, the IPBMP shall provide notice of covered formulary alternatives to the prescriber promptly so that appropriate medication can be provided to the patient within 72 hours.
- 5. Drugs requiring prior authorization, shall be adjudicated by the IPBMP within 72 hours of receipt of the prescription.
- 6. Our AMA (a) promotes the value of online access to up-to-date and accurate prescription drug formulary plans from all insurance providers nationwide, and (b) supports state medical societies in advocating for state legislation to ensure online access to up-to-date and accurate prescription drug formularies for all insurance plans.
- 7. Our AMA will continue its efforts with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners addressing the development and management of pharmacy benefits.
- 8. Our AMA will develop model state legislation on the development and management of pharmacy benefits.

## Preauthorization for Payment of Services H-320.961

Our AMA supports legislation and/or regulations that would prevent the retrospective denial of payment for any claim for services for which a physician had previously obtained authorization, unless fraud was committed or incorrect information provided at the time such prior approval was obtained.

# Administrative Simplification in the Physician Practice D-190.974

- 1. Our AMA strongly encourages vendors to increase the functionality of their practice management systems to allow physicians to send and receive electronic standard transactions directly to payers and completely automate their claims management revenue cycle and will continue to strongly encourage payers and their vendors to work with the AMA and the Federation to streamline the prior authorization process.
- 2. Our AMA will continue its strong leadership role in automating, standardizing and simplifying all administrative actions required for transactions between payers and providers.
- 3. Our AMA will continue its strong leadership role in automating, standardizing, and simplifying the claims revenue cycle for physicians in all specialties and modes of practice with all their trading partners, including, but not limited to, public and private payers, vendors, and clearinghouses.
- 4. Our AMA will prioritize efforts to automate, standardize and simplify the process for physicians to estimate patient and payer financial responsibility before the service is provided, and determine patient and payer financial responsibility at the point of care.
- 5. Our AMA will continue to use its strong leadership role to support state and specialty society initiatives to simplify administrative functions.
- 6. Our AMA will expand its Heal the Claims process(TM) campaign as necessary to ensure that physicians are aware of the value of automating their claims cycle.

#### Prescription Drug Plans and Patient Access D-330.910

Our AMA will explore problems with prescription drug plans, including issues related to continuity of care, prior authorization, and formularies, and work with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and other appropriate organizations to resolve them.